



P.C.

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22313

Docket No.: 203058US2RD

RE: Application Serial No.: 09/781,284

Applicants: Mikio HASHIMOTO, et al.

Filing Date: February 13, 2001

For: METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR DISTRIBUTING
PROGRAMS USING TAMPER RESISTANT
PROCESSOR

Group Art Unit: 2135

Examiner: TRUONG, T. B.

SIR:

Attached hereto for filing are the following papers:

Comments on Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance

Our online credit card payment in the amount of **\$0.00** is being made covering any required fees. In the event any variance exists between the amount enclosed and the Patent Office charges for filing the above-noted documents, including any fees required under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 for any necessary Extension of Time to make the filing of the attached documents timely, please charge or credit the difference to our Deposit Account No. 15-0030. Further, if these papers are not considered timely filed, then a petition is hereby made under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 for the necessary extension of time.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,
MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.


Eckhard H. Kuesters
Registration No. 28,870

Customer Number

22850

(703) 413-3000 (phone)

(703) 413-2220 (fax)

I:\ATTY\JW\203058US\203058 PTO CVR 7.31.07.DOC

Joseph Wrkich
Registration No. 53,796

DOCKET NO: 203058US2RD

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

IN RE APPLICATION OF

:

MIKIO HASHIMOTO, ET AL.

: EXAMINER: TRUONG, T. B.

SERIAL NO: 09/781,284

:

FILED: FEBRUARY 13, 2001

: GROUP ART UNIT: 2135

FOR: METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR
DISTRIBUTING PROGRAMS USING
TAMPER RESISTANT PROCESSOR

:

COMMENTS ON EXAMINER'S STATEMENT
OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22313

SIR:

The “Statement of Reasons For Allowance” included at page 2, paragraph 4, of the Notice of Allowance refers to various features recited in Claim 1 as not being disclosed in the prior art. However, the “Statement of Reasons For Allowance” indicates that the elements of Claim 1 are also set forth in Claims 7, 13, and 14. However, the language recited in Claims 7, 13, and 14 is different from what is recited in Claim 1. For example, Claim 7 does not include “an encryption processing unit.” On the contrary, Claim 7 recites “a program receiving unit.” Moreover, Claim 14 is directed to a method claim, and Claim 1 is directed toward an apparatus claim.

Applicants’ therefore object to this “global” approach to identifying “reasons for allowance.”

Accordingly, a revised statement of reasons for allowance is recommended and respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,
MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.


Eckhard H. Kuesters
Attorney of Record
Registration No. 28,870

Joseph Wrkich
Registration No. 53,796

Customer Number
22850

Tel: (703) 413-3000
Fax: (703) 413 -2220
(OSMMN 06/04)

I:\ATTYJW\203058US\203058US_COMMENTS.DOC